arXiv:1406.1032v1 [math.DG] 4 Jun 2014

GENERALIZED KENMOTSU MANIFOLDS

Aysel TURGUT VANLI and Ramazan SARI

Abstract

In 1972, K. Kenmotsu studied a class of almost contact Riemannian manifolds. Later, such a manifold was called a Kenmotsu manifold. This paper, we studied Kenmotsu manifolds with $(2n+s)$-dimensional $s-$contact metric manifold and this manifold, we have called generalized Kenmotsu manifolds. Necessary and sufficient condition is given for an almost $s-$contact metric manifold to be a generalized Kenmotsu manifold.We show that a generalized Kenmotsu manifold is a locally warped product space. In addition, we study some curvature properties of generalized Kenmotsu manifolds. Moreover, we show that the φ-sectional curvature of any semi-symmetric and projective semi-symmetric $(2n+s)$-dimensional generalized Kenmotsu manifold is $-s$.

Introduction

In *[22],* K.Yano introduced the notion of a $f-$structure on a differentiable manifold M, i.e., a tensor fields f of type $(1,1)$ and $rank\ 2n$ satisfying $f^3+f=0$ as a generalization of both (almost) contact (for $s=1$) and (almost) complex structures (for $s=0$). TM splits into two complementary subbundles $\mathcal{L}=Im\varphi$ and $\mathcal{M}=ker\varphi$. The existence of which is equivalent to a reduction of the structural group of the tangent bundle to $U(n)\times O(s)$ *[4].* H. Nakagawa in *[16]* and *[17]* introduced the notion of globally framed f-manifolds (*called f-manifolds*), later developed and studied by Goldberg and Yano *[10], [11], [12].* A wide class of globally frame f-manifolds was introduced in *[4],* by Blair according to the following definition. A metric f-structure is said to be a K-structure if the fundamental

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 53C15 ; Secondary 53C25, 53D10.

Key words and phrases. Kenmotsu manifolds, metric f-manifolds, s-contact metric manifolds, generalized Kenmotsu manifolds, semi-symmetric, ricci semi-symmetric, projective semi-symmetric.

2-form Φ, defined usually as $\Phi(X,Y)=g(X,\varphi Y)$, for any vector fields X and Y on M, is closed and the normality condition holds, that is; $[\varphi,\varphi]+2\sum_{i=1}^{s}d\eta^i\otimes\xi_i=0$ where $[\varphi,\varphi]$ denotes the Nijenhuis torsion of φ. Some authors studeid f-structure *[5], [7], [23]*. The Riemannian connection ∇ of a metric $f-$manifold satisfies the following formula *[6],*

$$\begin{aligned} 2g((\nabla_X\varphi)Y,Z) &= 3d\Phi(X,\varphi Y,\varphi Z)-3d\Phi(X,Y,Z)+g(N^1(Y,Z),\varphi X) \\ &\quad +\sum_{i=1}^{s}\{N^2(Y,Z)\eta^i(X)+2d\eta^i(\varphi Y,X)\eta^i(Z)-2d\eta^i(\varphi Z,X)\eta^i(Y)\}, \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

where the tensor fields N^1 and N^2 are defined by $N^1=[\varphi,\varphi]+2\sum_{i=1}^{s}d\eta^i\otimes\xi_i$, $N^2(X,Y)=(L_{\varphi X}\eta^i)(Y)-(L_{\varphi Y}\eta^i)(X)$ respectively, which is by a simple computation can be rewritten as: $N^2(X,Y)=2d\eta^i(\varphi X,Y)-2d\eta^i(\varphi Y,X)$.

Let M be a $(2n+1)$ dimensional differentiable manifold. M is called an *almost contact metric manifold* if φ is $(1,1)$ type tensor field, ξ is vector field, η is $1-$ form and g is a compatible Riemannian metric such that

$$\varphi^2=-I+\eta\otimes\xi,\qquad \eta(\xi)=1 \tag{2}$$

$$g(\varphi X,\varphi Y)=g(X,Y)-\eta(X)\eta(Y) \tag{3}$$

for all $X,Y\in\Gamma(TM)$.

In addition, we have

$$\eta(X)=g(X,\xi),\qquad \varphi(\xi)=0,\qquad \eta(\varphi)=0 \tag{4}$$

for all $X,Y\in\Gamma(TM)$ [6].

To study manifolds with negative curvature, Bishop and O'Neill introduced the notion of warped product as a generalization of Riemannian product *[3]*. In 1960's and 1970's, when almost contact manifolds were studied as an odd dimensional counterpart of almost complex manifolds, the warped product was used to make examples of almost contact manifolds *[20]*. In addition, S. Tanno classified the connected $(2n+1)$ dimensional almost contact manifold M whose automorphism group has maximum dimension $(n+1)^2$ in *[20]*. For such a manifold, the sectional curvature of plane sections containing ξ is a constant, say c. Then there are three classes.

i) $c>0$, M is homogeneous Sasakian manifold of constant holomorphic sectional curvature.

ii) $c=0$, M is the global Riemannian product of a line or a circle with a K*ä*hler manifold of constant holomorphic sectional curvature.

iii) $c<0$, M is warped product space $\mathbb{R}\times_f\mathbb{C}^n$. Kenmotsu obtained some tensorial equations to characterize manifolds of the third case.

In 1972 , Kenmotsu abstracted the differential geometric properties of the third case. In *[15],* Kenmotsu studied a class of almost contact Riemannian manifold which satisfy the following two condition,

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla_X\varphi)Y &= -\eta(Y)\varphi X - g(X,\varphi Y)\xi \\ \nabla_X\xi &= X - \eta(X)\xi \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

He showed normal an almost contact Riemannian manifold with (5) but not quasi Sasakian hence not Sasakian. He was to characterize warped product space $L \times_f \mathbb{C}E^n$ by an almost contact Riemannian manifold with (5). Moreover, he show that every point of an almost contact Riemannian manifold with (5) has a neighborhood which is a warped $(-\epsilon, \epsilon) \times_f V$ where $f(t) = ce^t$ and V is Kähler.

In 1981 *[13],* Janssens and Vanhecke, an almost contact metric manifold satisfiying this (5) is called a Kenmotsu manifold. After this definition, some authors studied Kenmotsu manifold *[8], [14], [18], [19].*

The paper is organized as follows: after a preliminary basic notions of $s-$contact metric manifolds theory, in Section 2, we introduced generalized almost Kenmotsu manifolds and generalized Kenmotsu manifolds. Necessary and sufficient condition is given for a $s-$contact metric manifold to be a generalized Kenmotsu manifold. The warped product $L^s \times_f V^{2n}$ provides an example. In section 3, some curvature properties are given. In section 4, we studied Ricci curvature tensor. In section 5, we studied semi-symmetric properties of generalized Kenmotsu manifolds. We show that the φ-sectional curvature of any semi-symmetric and projective semi-symmetric $(2n + s)$-dimensional generalized Kenmotsu manifold is $-s$.

1 Preliminaries

In *[11],* a $(2n + s)-$dimensional differentiable manifold M is called metric $f-$manifold if there exist an $(1, 1)$ type tensor field φ, s vector fields $\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_s$, s 1-forms $\eta^1, \ldots, \eta^s$ and a Riemannian metric g on M such that

$$\varphi^2 = -I + \sum_{i=1}^{s} \eta^i \otimes \xi_i, \qquad \eta^i(\xi_j) = \delta_{ij} \tag{6}$$

$$g(\varphi X, \varphi Y) = g(X, Y) - \sum_{i=1}^{s} \eta^i(X)\eta^i(Y), \tag{7}$$

for any $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$, $i, j \in \{1, \ldots, s\}$. In addition, we have

$$\eta^i(X) = g(X, \xi_i), \qquad g(X, \varphi Y) = -g(\varphi X, Y), \qquad \varphi\xi_i = 0, \qquad \eta^i \circ \varphi = 0. \tag{8}$$

Then, a 2-form Φ is defined by $\Phi(X,Y) = g(X,\varphi Y)$, for any $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$, called the *fundamental 2-form*.

In what follows, we denote by $\mathcal{M}$ the distribution spanned by the structure vector fields $\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_s$ and by $\mathcal{L}$ its orthogonal complementary distribution. Then, $TM = \mathcal{L} \oplus \mathcal{M}$. If $X \in \mathcal{M}$ we have $\varphi X = 0$ and if $X \in \mathcal{L}$ we have $\eta^i(X) = 0$, for any $i \in \{1, \ldots, s\}$; that is, $\varphi^2 X = -X$.

In a metric f-manifold, special local orthonormal basis of vector fields can be considered. Let U be a coordinate neighborhood and E_1 a unit vector field on U orthogonal to the structure vector fields. Then, from $(6) - (8)$, φE_1 is also a unit vector field on U orthogonal to E_1 and the structure vector fields. Next, if it is possible, let E_2 be a unit vector field on U orthogonal to E_1, φE_1 and the structure vector fields and so on. The local orthonormal basis

$$\{E_1, \ldots, E_n, \varphi E_1, \ldots, \varphi E_n, \xi_1, \ldots, \xi_s\},$$

so obtained is called an *f-basis*. Moreover, a metric f-manifold is *normal* if

$$[\varphi, \varphi] + 2\sum_{i=1}^{s} d\eta^i \otimes \xi_i = 0,$$

where $[\varphi, \varphi]$ is denoting the Nijenhuis tensor field associated to φ.

In *[21],* let M a $(2n+s)-$dimensional metric $f-$manifold. If there exists 2-form Φ such that $\eta^1 \wedge \ldots \wedge \eta^s \wedge \Phi^n \neq 0$ on M then M is called an *almost s-contact metric manifold.* A normal almost s-contact metric manifold is called an s-contact metric manifold.

2 Generalized Kenmotsu Manifolds

As is known in Kenmotsu manifold $dim ker\varphi = 1$, since $ker\varphi = sp\{\xi\}$. It was to be $dim ker\varphi > 1$ open question. Firstly in 2003, L. Bhatt and K. K. Dube introduced *Kenmotsu s-structure*; that is, an almost $s-$contact metric manifold M is called a Kenmotsu $s-$manifold if

$$(\nabla_X \varphi)Y = g(\varphi X, Y)\sum_{i=1}^{s} \xi_i - \varphi X \sum_{i=1}^{s} \eta^i(Y)$$

for any $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$ *[1]*. We will give their definition as a theorem in this paper.

Afterwards in 2006, M. Falcitelli and A.M. Pastore introduced *Kenmotsu f.pk-manifold.* In *[9],* a metric *f.pk*-manifold M of dimension $2n+s$, $s \geq 1$, with *f.pk*$-$structure which is a metrik $f-$structure with parallelizable kernel. $(\varphi, \xi_i, \eta^i, g)$ is said to be a Kenmotsu *f.pk*-manifold if it is normal, the1-forms η^i are closed and $d\Phi = 2\eta^1 \wedge \Phi$. They assume that $d\Phi = 2\eta^i \wedge \Phi$ for all $i = 1, 2, ..., s$ in the definition of Kenmotsu *f.pk*$-$manifold.

So, they remark that, since the 1-forms η^i are linearly independent and $\eta^i \wedge \Phi = \eta^j \wedge \Phi$ implies $\eta^i = \eta^j$, then the condition on $d\Phi$ can be satisfied by a unique η^i and they can assume that $d\Phi = 2\eta^1 \wedge \Phi$. It is clear that authors were equated 1-forms $\eta^1, ..., \eta^s$, which dual of $\xi_1, ..., \xi_s$.Thus, they studied unique 1-form η^1.

In this paper, all $\eta^1, ..., \eta^s$ $1-$forms are unequaled at the definition of generalized Kenmotsu manifolds.

DEFINITION 2.1. Let M be an almost $s-$contact metric manifold of dimension $(2n+s)$, $s \geq 1$, with $(\varphi, \xi_i, \eta^i, g)$. M is said to be a generalized almost Kenmotsu manifold if for all $1 \leq i \leq s$, $1-$forms η^i are closed and $d\Phi = 2\sum_{i=1}^{s} \eta^i \wedge \Phi$. A normal generalized almost Kenmotsu manifold M is called a generalized Kenmotsu manifold.

Now, we construct an example of generalized Kenmotsu manifold.

EXAMPLE 2.2. We consider $(2n+s)-$dimensional manifold

$$M = \left\{ (x_1, ..., x_n, y_1, ..., y_n, z_1, ..., z_s) \in \mathbb{R}^{2n+s} : \sum_{\alpha=1}^{s} z_\alpha \neq 0 \right\}$$

We choose the vector fields

$$X_i = e^{-\sum_{\alpha=1}^{s} z_\alpha} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}, Y_i = e^{-\sum_{\alpha=1}^{s} z_\alpha} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_i}, \xi_\alpha = \frac{\partial}{\partial z_\alpha}, 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq \alpha \leq s$$

which are linearly indepent at each point of M. Let g be the Riemannian metric defined by

$$g = e^{2\sum_{\alpha=1}^{s} z_\alpha} \left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} (dx_i \otimes dx_i + dy_i \otimes dy_i) \right] + \sum_{\alpha=1}^{s} \eta^\alpha \otimes \eta^\alpha.$$

Hence, $\{X_1, ..., X_n, Y_1, ..., Y_n, \xi_1, ..., \xi_s\}$ is an orthonormal basis. Thus, η^α be the $1-$form defined by $\eta^\alpha(X) = g(X, \xi_\alpha), \alpha = 1, ..., s$ for any vector field X on TM. We defined the $(1,1)$ tensor field φ as

$$\varphi(X_i) = Y_i, \varphi(Y_i) = -X_i, \varphi(\xi_\alpha) = 0, 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq \alpha \leq s.$$

The linearity property of φ and g yields that

$$\begin{aligned} \eta^\alpha(\xi_\beta) &= \delta_{\alpha\beta}, \varphi^2 X = -X + \sum_{\alpha=1}^{s} \eta^\alpha(X) \xi_\alpha, \\ g(\varphi X, \varphi Y) &= g(X, Y) - \sum_{\alpha=1}^{s} \eta^\alpha(X) \eta^\alpha(Y), \end{aligned}$$

for any vector fields X, Y on M. Therefore,$(M, \varphi, \xi_\alpha, \eta^\alpha, g)$ defines a metric $f-$manifold. We have $\Phi(X_{i,}, Y_i) = -1$ and others are zero. Therefore, the essential non-zero component of Φ is

$$\Phi(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y_i}) = g(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}, \varphi\frac{\partial}{\partial y_i}) = -e^{2\sum\limits_{\alpha=1}^{s} z_\alpha}$$

and hence, we have

$$\Phi = -e^{2\sum\limits_{\alpha=1}^{s} z_\alpha} \sum_{i=1}^{n} dx_i \wedge dy_i$$

Therefore, we get $\eta^1 \wedge ... \wedge \eta^s \wedge \Phi^n \neq 0$ on M. Thus $(M, \varphi, \xi_\alpha, \eta^\alpha, g)$ is almost $s-$contact manifold. Consequently, the exterior derivative $d\Phi$ is given by

$$d\Phi = 2\sum_{\alpha=1}^{s} dz_\alpha \wedge (-e^{2\sum\limits_{\alpha=1}^{s} z_\alpha}) \sum_{i=1}^{n} dx_i \wedge dy_i.$$

Therefore, $(M, \varphi, \xi_\alpha, \eta^\alpha, g)$ is a generalized almost Kenmotsu manifold. It can be seen that $(M, \varphi, \xi_\alpha, \eta^\alpha, g)$ is normal. So, it is a generalized Kenmotsu manifold. Moreover, we get

$$\begin{aligned} [X_i, \xi_\alpha] &= X_i, \qquad [Y_i, \xi_\alpha] = Y_i, \\ [X_i, X_j] &= 0, \qquad [X_i, Y_i] = 0, \qquad [X_i, Y_j] = 0 \\ [Y_i, Y_j] &= 0, \qquad 1 \leq i, j \leq n, 1 \leq \alpha \leq s. \end{aligned}$$

The Riemannian connection ∇ of the metric g is given by

$$\begin{aligned} 2g(\nabla_X Y, Z) &= Xg(Y, Z) + Yg(Z, X) - Zg(X, Y) \\ &\quad + g([X, Y], Z) - g([Y, Z], X) + g([Z, X], Y). \end{aligned}$$

Using the Koszul's formula, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_{X_i} X_i &= \sum_{\alpha=1}^{s} \xi_\alpha, \nabla_{Y_i} Y_i = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{s} \xi_\alpha, \\ \nabla_{X_i} X_j &= \nabla_{Y_i} Y_j = \nabla_{X_i} Y_i = \nabla_{X_i} Y_j = 0 \\ \nabla_{X_i} \xi_\alpha &= X_i, \nabla_{Y_i} \xi_\alpha = Y_i, 1 \leq i, j \leq n, 1 \leq \alpha \leq s. \end{aligned}$$

We construct an example of generalized Kenmotsu manifold for $7-$dimensional.

EXAMPLE 2.3. Let $n = 2$ and $s = 3$. The vector fields

$$e_1 = f_1(z_1, z_2, z_3)\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} + f_2(z_1, z_2, z_3)\frac{\partial}{\partial y_1},$$

$$e_2 = -f_2(z_1, z_2, z_3)\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} + f_1(z_1, z_2, z_3)\frac{\partial}{\partial y_1},$$

$$e_3 = f_1(z_1, z_2, z_3)\frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} + f_2(z_1, z_2, z_3)\frac{\partial}{\partial y_2},$$
$$e_4 = -f_2(z_1, z_2, z_3)\frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} + f_1(z_1, z_2, z_3)\frac{\partial}{\partial y_2},$$
$$e_5 = \frac{\partial}{\partial z_1}, e_6 = \frac{\partial}{\partial z_2}, e_7 = \frac{\partial}{\partial z_3}$$

where f_1 and f_2 are given by

$$\begin{aligned} f_1(z_1, z_2, z_3) &= c_2 e^{-(z_1+z_2+z_3)} \cos(z_1 + z_2 + z_3) - c_1 e^{-(z_1+z_2+z_3)} \sin(z_1 + z_2 + z_3), \\ f_2(z_1, z_2, z_3) &= c_1 e^{-(z_1+z_2+z_3)} \cos(z_1 + z_2 + z_3) + c_2 e^{-(z_1+z_2+z_3)} \sin(z_1 + z_2 + z_3) \end{aligned}$$

for nonzero constant c_1, c_2. It is obvious that $\{e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4, e_5, e_6, e_7\}$ are linearly independent at each point of M. Let g be the Riemannian metric given by

$$g = \frac{1}{f_1^2 + f_2^2}\sum_{i=1}^{2}(dx_i \otimes dx_i + dy_i \otimes dy_i) + dz_1 \otimes dz_1 + dz_2 \otimes dz_2 + dz_3 \otimes dz_3,$$

where $\{x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2, z_1, z_2, z_3\}$ are standard coordinates in $\mathbb{R}^7$. Let η^1, η^2 and η^3 be the $1-$form defined by $\eta^1(X) = g(X, e_5)$, $\eta^2(X) = g(X, e_6)$ and $\eta^3(X) = g(X, e_7)$, respectively, for any vector field X on M and ϕ be the $(1, 1)$ tensor field defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(e_1) &= e_2, \quad \varphi(e_2) = -e_1, \quad \varphi(e_3) = e_4, \quad \varphi(e_4) = -e_3, \\ \varphi(e_5 &= \xi_1) = 0, \quad \varphi(e_6 = \xi_2) = 0, \quad \varphi(e_7 = \xi_3) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the essential non-zero component of Φ is

$$\Phi(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y_i}) = -\frac{1}{f_1^2 + f_2^2} = -\frac{2e^{2(z_1+z_2+z_3)}}{c_1^2 + c_2^2}, \qquad i = 1, 2$$

and hence

$$\Phi = -\frac{2e^{2(z_1+z_2+z_3)}}{c_1^2 + c_2^2}\sum_{i=1}^{2} dx_i \wedge dy_i.$$

Thus, we have $\eta^1 \wedge ... \wedge \eta^s \wedge \Phi^n \neq 0$ on M. Consequently, the exterior derivative $d\Phi$ is given by

$$d\Phi = -\frac{4e^{2(z_1+z_2+z_3)}}{c_1^2 + c_2^2}(dz_1 + dz_2 + dz_3) \wedge \sum_{i=1}^{2} dx_i \wedge dy_i.$$

Since $\eta^1 = dz_1$, $\eta^2 = dz_2$ and $\eta^3 = dz_3$, we find

$$d\Phi = 2(\eta^1 + \eta^2 + \eta^3) \wedge \Phi.$$

In addition, Nijenhuis tersion of φ is equal to zero.

THEOREM 2.4. *Let $(M,\varphi,\xi_i,\eta^i,g)$ be an almost s-contact metric manifold. M is a generalized Kenmotsu manifold if and only if*

$$(\nabla_X\varphi)\,Y=\sum_{i=1}^{s}\left\{g(\varphi X,Y)\xi_i-\eta^i(Y)\varphi X\right\} \tag{9}$$

for all $X,Y\in\Gamma(TM)$, $i\in\{1,2,...,s\}$, where ∇ is Riemannian connection on M.

PROOF. Let M be a generalized Kenmotsu manifold. From (1), (6), (7) and (8) for all $X,Y\in\Gamma(TM)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
g\left((\nabla_X\varphi)\,Y,Z\right) &= 3\left\{\sum_{i=1}^{s}(\eta^i\wedge\Phi)(X,\varphi Y,\varphi Z)-\sum_{i=1}^{s}(\eta^i\wedge\Phi)(X,Y,Z)\right\}\\
&= 3\sum_{i=1}^{s}\{\frac{1}{3}(-\eta^i(X)\Phi(\varphi Y,\varphi Z)+\eta^i(\varphi Y)\Phi(\varphi Z,X)+\eta^i(\varphi Z)\Phi(X,\varphi Y))\\
&\quad -\frac{1}{3}(-\eta^i(X)\Phi(Y,Z)+\eta^i(Y)\Phi(Z,X)+\eta^i(Z)\Phi(X,Y))\}\\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{s}\left\{-\eta^i(X)g(\varphi Y,\varphi^2Z)+\eta^i(X)g(Y,\varphi Z)-\eta^i(Y)g(Z,\varphi X)-\eta^i(Z)g(X,\varphi Y)\right\}\\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{s}\left\{-\eta^i(Y)g(Z,\varphi X)-\eta^i(Z)g(X,\varphi Y)\right\}\\
&= g(\sum_{i=1}^{s}\left\{g(\varphi X,Y)\xi_i-\eta^i(Y)\varphi X\right\},Z).
\end{aligned}$$

Conversely, firstly, using (9) and (8), we get

$$\varphi\nabla_X\xi_j=-\sum_{i=1}^{s}\left\{g(\varphi X,\xi_j)\xi_i-\eta^i(\xi_j)\varphi X\right\}$$

hence, we get

$$\varphi^2\nabla_X\xi_j=\varphi^2X.$$

Therefore, we have

$$\nabla_X\xi_j=-\varphi^2X.$$

On the other hand, we get

$$d\eta^i(X,Y)=\frac{1}{2}\{g(Y,-\varphi^2X)-g(X,-\varphi^2Y)\}=0$$

for all $X,Y\in\Gamma(TM)$, $i\in\{1,2,...,s\}$. In addition, we know

$$\begin{aligned}
3d\Phi(X,Y,Z) &= Xg(Y,\varphi Z)-Yg(X,\varphi Z)-Zg(X,\varphi Y)-g([X,Y],\varphi Z)\\
&\quad +g([X,Z],\varphi Y)-g([Y,Z],\varphi X)\\
&= g(Y,\nabla_X\varphi Z-\varphi\nabla_XZ)-g(X,\nabla_Y\varphi Z-\varphi\nabla_YZ)+g(X,\nabla_Z\varphi Y-\varphi\nabla_ZY).
\end{aligned}$$

From hypothesis, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 3d\Phi(X,Y,Z) &= \sum_{i=1}^{s}\{g(\varphi X,Z)g(Y,\xi_i)-\eta^i(Z)g(Y,\varphi X)-g(\varphi Y,Z)g(X,\xi_i)+\eta^i(Z)g(X,\varphi Y) \\ &\quad +g(\varphi Z,Y)g(X,\xi_i)-\eta^i(Y)g(X,\varphi Z)\} \\ &= 2\sum_{i=1}^{s}\{\Phi(Z,X)\eta^i(Y)+\Phi(X,Y)\eta^i(Z)+\Phi(Y,Z)\eta^i(X)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then, we have,

$$d\Phi = 2\sum_{i=1}^{s}\eta^i\wedge\Phi.$$

Moreover, the Nijenhuis torsion of φ is obtained

$$\begin{aligned} N_\varphi(X,Y) &= \varphi\left(-\sum_{i=1}^{s}\{g(\varphi X,Y)\xi_i-\eta^i(Y)\varphi X\}+\sum_{i=1}^{s}\{g(\varphi Y,X)\xi_i-\eta^i(X)\varphi Y\}\right) \\ &\quad +\sum_{i=1}^{s}\{g(\varphi^2X,Y)\xi_i-\eta^i(Y)\varphi^2X\}-\sum_{i=1}^{s}\{g(\varphi^2Y,X)\xi_i-\eta^i(X)\varphi^2Y\} \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we have

$$[\varphi,\varphi]+2\sum_{i=1}^{s}d\eta^i\otimes\xi_i=0.$$

The proof is completed. □

COROLLARY 2.5. *Let M be a $(2n+s)$-dimensional generalized Kenmotsu manifold with structure (φ,ξ_i,η^i,g). Then we have*

$$\nabla_X\xi_j=-\varphi^2X \tag{10}$$

for all $X\in\Gamma(TM), i,\ j\in\{1,2,...,s\}$.

LEMMA 2.6. *Let M be a $(2n+s)$-dimensional generalized Kenmotsu manifold with structure (φ,ξ_i,η^i,g). Then we have*

$$i)\nabla_{\xi_j}\varphi=0,\qquad \nabla_{\xi_j}\xi_i=0$$

$$ii)(L_{\xi_i}\varphi)X=0,\qquad (L_{\xi_i}\eta^j)X=0$$

$$iii)(L_{\xi_i}g)(X,Y)=2\{g(X,Y)-\sum_{i=1}^{s}\eta^i(X)\eta^i(Y)\} \tag{11}$$

for all $X\in\Gamma(TM)$, $i,j\in\{1,2,...,s\}$.

THEOREM 2.7. *Let M be a $(2n+s)$-dimensional generalized Kenmotsu manifold with structure $(\varphi, \xi_i, \eta^i, g)$. Then we have*

$$(\nabla_X \eta^i)Y = g(X,Y) - \sum_{j=1}^{s} \eta^j(X)\eta^j(Y) \tag{12}$$

for all $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM), i \in \{1, 2, ..., s\}$.

PROOF. Using (8) and (10) we get the desired result. □

We have below the corollary in case $s = 1$.

COROLLARY 2.8. *Let $(M^{2n+1}, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$ be an almost contact metric manifold. M is a Kenmotsu manifold if and only if*

$$(\nabla_X \varphi)\, Y = g(\varphi X, Y)\xi - \eta(Y)\varphi X$$

for all $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$, $i \in \{1, 2, ..., s\}$, where ∇ is Riemannian connection on M [15].

THEOREM 2.9. *Let F be a Kähler manifold $f(t) = ke^{\sum_{i=1}^{s} t_i}$ be a function on $\mathbb{R}^s$, and k be a non-zero constant. Then the warped product space $M = \mathbb{R}^s \times_f F$ have a generalized Kenmotsu manifold.*

PROOF. Let (F, J, G) be a Kähler manifold and consider $M = \mathbb{R}^s \times_f F$, with coordinates $(t_1, ..., t_s, x_1, ..., x_{2n})$. We define φ tensor field, 1-form η^i, vector field ξ_i and Riemannian metric tensor g on M as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(\frac{\partial}{\partial t_i}, U) &= (0, JU), \\ \eta^j(\frac{\partial}{\partial t_i}, U) &= \delta_{ij}, \qquad \xi_i = (\frac{\partial}{\partial t_i}, 0) \\ g_f &= \sum_{i=1}^{s} dt^i \otimes dt^i + f^2 \pi^*(G) \end{aligned}$$

where $f(t) = ke^{\sum_{i=1}^{s} t_i}, U \in \Gamma(F)$.

Then $(M, \varphi, \eta^i, \xi_i, g_f)$ defines $s-$contact metric manifold. Now let us show that this manifold is a generalized Kenmotsu manifold.

It is clear that η^i are closed. Thus, we have

$$\Phi(X,Y) = g_f(X, \varphi Y) = f^2 \pi^*(G(X, JY))$$

or

$$\Phi = f^2 \pi^*(\Psi)$$

where is fundamental 2-form of Kähler manifold. Hence, we get

$$d\Phi = 2c\sum_{i=1}^{s} e^{2\sum_{i=1}^{s} t_i} dt^i \wedge \pi^*(\Psi) = 2\sum_{i=1}^{s} dt^i \wedge \Phi.$$

Finally torsion tensor N_φ of M is vanish, since η^i are closed and $N_J = 0$.

Then $(M = \mathbb{R}^s \times_f F, \varphi, \eta^i, \xi_i, g_f)$ is a generalized Kenmotsu manifold. □

EXAMPLE 2.10. $(\mathbb{R}^2 \times_f V^4, g_f = \sum_{i=1}^{2} dt^i \otimes dt^i + f^2 G)$ is warped product with coordinates $(t_1, t_2, x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4)$, where $f^2 = k^2 e^{\sum_{i=1}^{2} t_i}$. Take a orthonormal frame field $\{\overline{E}_1, \overline{E}_2, \overline{E}_3, \overline{E}_4\}$ of V^4 and $\{\overline{e}_5, \overline{e}_6\}$ of $\mathbb{R}^2$ such that $\overline{E}_2 = J\overline{E}_1$, $\overline{E}_4 = J\overline{E}_3$.Then we obtain a local orthonormal field $\{E_1, E_2, E_3, E_4, E_5, E_6\}$ of $\mathbb{R}^2 \times_f V^4$ by

$$\begin{aligned} E_1 &= k e^{-\sum_{i=1}^{2} t_i} \overline{E}_1, \qquad E_2 = k e^{-\sum_{i=1}^{2} t_i} \overline{E}_2 \\ E_3 &= -k e^{-\sum_{i=1}^{2} t_i} \overline{E}_3, \qquad E_4 = -k e^{-\sum_{i=1}^{2} t_i} \overline{E}_4 \\ E_5 &= \xi_1, \qquad E_6 = \xi_2. \end{aligned}$$

Then $\mathbb{R}^2 \times_f V^4$ is a generalized Kenmotsu manifold.

THEOREM 2.11. *Let $(M^{2n+s}, \varphi, \eta^i, \xi_i, g)$ be a generalized Kenmotsu manifold, V and L are Kähler and a flat manifold with locally coordinates $(x_1, ..., x_{2n})$ and $(t_1, ..., t_s)$ respectively. Then M a locally warped product $L^s \times_f V^{2n}$ where $f(t) = k e^{\sum_{i=1}^{s} t_i}$ and k a nonzero positive constant.*

PROOF. We know that $TM = \mathcal{L} \oplus \mathcal{M}$. $\mathcal{L}$ is clearly integrable, since $d\eta^i = 0$. Then V integral manifold of $\mathcal{L}$ is totally umbilical because $\nabla_X \xi_i = X$. On the other hand $[\xi_i, \xi_j] = 0$ and $\nabla_{\xi_i} \xi_j = 0$, $\mathcal{M}$ is integrable and L integral manifold is totally geodesic.

We select $J = \varphi \mid_D$ such that $J^2 = -I$, $G = g \mid_D$. Then (V, J, G) is almost Hermitian manifold. Also torsion tensor $N_J = N_\varphi = 0$ and using (9), we get $(\nabla_X J)Y = 0$. Then (V, J, G) is Kähler manifold.

Then $M = L \times_f V$ is locally a warped product and metric is

$$g_f = \sum_{i=1}^{s} dt^i \otimes dt^i + f^2 G.$$

Its follows that

$$(L_{\xi_i} g_f)(X, Y) = \frac{2\xi_i(f)}{f} G(X, Y)$$

and using (11), we get

$$\xi_i(f) = f, \qquad i = 1, ..., s.$$

Thus,we have

$$\frac{\partial f(t_1,...,t_s)}{\partial t_i} = f(t_1,...,t_s), \qquad i = 1,...,s.$$

Therefore, we obtained $f(t_1,...,t_s) = ce^{\sum_{i=1}^{s} t_i}$ where c is nonzero constant. □

EXAMPLE 2.12. Let's go back to the example 2.3. Let $(\mathbb{R}^7, \varphi, \eta^i, \xi_i, g)$ be a generalized Kenmotsu manifold where $i = 1, 2, 3$. Take a orthonormal frame field

$$\left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial z_1} = \xi_1, \frac{\partial}{\partial z_2} = \xi_2, \frac{\partial}{\partial z_3} = \xi_3 \right\}$$

of $\mathbb{R}^3$ and

$$\left\{ \frac{e^{2\sum_{i=1}^{3} z_i}}{c_1^2 + c_2^2}(f_1e_1 - f_2e_2), \frac{e^{2\sum_{i=1}^{3} z_i}}{c_1^2 + c_2^2}(f_2e_1 + f_1e_2), \frac{e^{2\sum_{i=1}^{3} z_i}}{c_1^2 + c_2^2}(f_1e_3 - f_2e_4), \frac{e^{2\sum_{i=1}^{3} z_i}}{c_1^2 + c_2^2}(f_2e_3 + f_1e_4) \right\}$$

of $\mathbb{R}^4$. Then $\mathbb{R}^7 = \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^4$ is product manifold, the structure by tensor φ and metric tensor g. $\mathbb{R}^4$ is the standard Kähler structure (J, G). Here the Riemannian metric g is warped product metric

$$g_0 + cf^2G$$

where g_0 is the Euclidean metric of $\mathbb{R}^3$, f is the function defined on $\mathbb{R}^3$ by

$$f(z_1, z_2, z_3) = e^{2\sum_{i=1}^{3} z_i} \text{ and } \qquad c = \frac{1}{c_1^2 + c_2^2}.$$

3 Some Curvature Properties

THEOREM 3.1. *Let M be a $(2n+s)$-dimensional generalized Kenmotsu manifold with structure $(\varphi, \xi_i, \eta^i, g)$. Then we have*

$$R(X,Y)\xi_i = \sum_{j=1}^{s} \{\eta^j(Y)\varphi^2 X - \eta^j(X)\varphi^2 Y\} \tag{13}$$

for all $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM), i \in \{1, 2, ..., s\}$.

PROOF. Firstly, using (10) and (6) we get

$$\nabla_X \nabla_Y \xi_i = \nabla_X Y + \varphi^2 X \sum_{j=1}^{s} \eta^j(Y) - \sum_{j=1}^{s} \{\eta^j(\nabla_X Y)\xi_j + g(Y, -\varphi^2 X)\xi_j\}$$

and

$$\nabla_{[X,Y]}\xi_i = -\varphi^2 \nabla_X Y + \varphi^2 \nabla_Y X.$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} R(X,Y)\xi_i &= \nabla_X Y + \varphi^2 X\sum_{j=1}^{s}\eta^j(Y) - \sum_{j=1}^{s}\{\eta^j(\nabla_X Y)\xi_j + g(Y,-\varphi^2 X)\xi_j\} \\ &\quad -\nabla_Y X - \varphi^2 Y\sum_{j=1}^{s}\eta^j(X) + \sum_{j=1}^{s}\{\eta^j(\nabla_Y X)\xi_j + g(X,-\varphi^2 Y)\xi_j\} \\ &\quad +\varphi^2\nabla_X Y - \varphi^2\nabla_Y X. \end{aligned}$$

From (6) desired result. □

COROLLARY 3.2. *Let M be a $(2n+s)$-dimensional generalized Kenmotsu manifold with structure (φ,ξ_i,η^i,g). Then we have*

$$R(X,\xi_i)Y = \sum_{j=1}^{s}\{\eta^j(Y)\varphi^2 X - g(X,\varphi^2 Y)\xi_j\} \tag{14}$$

$$R(X,\xi_j)\xi_i = \varphi^2 X, \qquad R(\xi_k,\xi_j)\xi_i = 0 \tag{15}$$

for all $X,Y\in\Gamma(TM), i,j,k\in\{1,2,...,s\}$.

COROLLARY 3.3. *[15] Let M be a $(2n+1)$-dimensional Kenmotsu manifold with structure (φ,ξ,η,g). Then we have*

$$\begin{aligned} R(X,Y)\xi &= \eta(Y)X - \eta(X)Y \\ R(X,\xi)Y &= g(X,Y)\xi - \eta(Y)X, \qquad R(\xi,\xi)\xi = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all $X,Y\in\Gamma(TM)$.

THEOREM 3.4. *Let M be a $(2n+s)$-dimensional generalized Kenmotsu manifold with structure (φ,ξ_i,η^i,g). Then we have*

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla_Z R)(X,Y,\xi_i) &= sg(Z,X)Y - sg(Z,Y)X - R(X,Y)Z \\ &\quad +s\sum_{h=1}^{s}\eta^h(Z)\{\eta^h(Y)X - \eta^h(X)Y\} + \sum_{l=1}^{s}\eta^l(Z)R(X,Y)\xi_l \end{aligned}$$

for all $X,Y\in\Gamma(TM), i\in\{1,2,...,s\}$.

PROOF. Using (10) and (13), we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla_Z R)(X,Y,\xi_i) &= \nabla_Z\{\sum_{j=1}^{s}\{\eta^j(X)Y - \eta^j(Y)X\}\} - \sum_{j=1}^{s}\{\eta^j(\nabla_Z X)Y - \eta^j(Y)\nabla_Z X\} \\ &\quad - \sum_{j=1}^{s}\{\eta^j(X)\nabla_Z Y - \eta^j(\nabla_Z Y)X\} - R(X,Y)\varphi^2 Z. \end{aligned}$$

From (6), we get

$$(\nabla_Z R)(X,Y,\xi_i) = \sum_{j=1}^{s}\{g(X,\nabla_Z\xi_j)Y - g(Y,\nabla_Z\xi_j)X\} - R(X,Y)Z + \sum_{k=1}^{s}\eta^k(Y)R(X,Y)\xi_k.$$

The proof competes from (6) and (10). □

COROLLARY 3.5. *Let M be a $(2n+s)$-dimensional generalized Kenmotsu manifold Then we have*

$$\begin{aligned}(\nabla_Z R)(X,Y,\xi_i) &= sg(Z,X)Y - sg(Z,Y)X - R(X,Y)Z, \qquad Z \in \mathcal{L}\\ (\nabla_{\xi_j} R)(X,Y,\xi_i) &= 0\end{aligned}$$

for all $X,Y \in \Gamma(TM), i \in \{1,2,...,s\}$.

COROLLARY 3.6. *[15] Let M be a $(2n+1)$-dimensional Kenmotsu manifold with structure (φ,ξ,η,g). Then we have*

$$(\nabla_Z R)(X,Y,\xi) = g(Z,X)Y - g(Z,Y)X - R(X,Y)Z, \qquad Z \in \mathcal{L} \text{ and } (\nabla_\xi R)(X,Y,\xi) = 0.$$

COROLLARY 3.7. *Let $(M,\varphi,\xi_i,\eta^i,g)$ be a $(2n+s)$-dimensional locally-symmetric generalized Kenmotsu manifold. Then we have*

$$R(X,Y)Z = s\{g(Z,X)Y - g(Z,Y)X\}.$$

COROLLARY 3.8. *[15] Let M be a $(2n+1)$-dimensional Kenmotsu manifold with structure (φ,ξ,η,g). If M is a locally symmetric then we have*

$$R(X,Y)Z = g(Z,X)Y - g(Z,Y)X.$$

COROLLARY 3.9. *The $\varphi-$sectional curvature of any locally symmetric generalized Kenmotsu manifold $(M,\varphi,\xi_i,\eta^i,g)$ is equal to $-s$.*

In this case $s=1$, we obtain that the $\varphi-$sectional curvature of any locally symmetric Kenmotsu manifold (M,φ,ξ,η,g) is equal to -1 *[15].*

THEOREM 3.10. *Let M be a $(2n+s)$-dimensional generalized Kenmotsu manifold with structure (φ,ξ_i,η^i,g). Then we have*

$$R(X,Y)\varphi Z - \varphi R(X,Y)Z = g(Y,Z)\varphi X - g(X,Z)\varphi Y - g(Y,\varphi Z)X + g(X,\varphi Z)Y$$

$$R(\varphi X,\varphi Y)Z = R(X,Y)Z + g(Y,Z)X - g(X,Z)Y + g(Y,\varphi Z)\varphi X - g(X,\varphi Z)\varphi Y$$

for all $X,Y \in \Gamma(TM), i \in \{1,2,...,s\}$.

4 Ricci Curvature Tensor

THEOREM 4.1. *Let M be a $(2n+s)$-dimensional generalized Kenmotsu manifold with structure $(\varphi, \xi_i, \eta^i, g)$. Then we have*

$$S(X, \xi_i) = -2n\sum_{j=1}^{s}\eta^j(X) \tag{16}$$

for all $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM), i \in \{1, 2, ..., s\}$.

PROOF. If $\{E_1, E_2, ..., E_{2n+s}\}$ are local orthonormal vector fields, then $S(X,Y) = \sum_{k=1}^{2n+s} g(R(E_k, X)Y, E_k)$ defines a global tensor field S of type $(0,2)$. Then, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} S(X, \xi_i) &= \sum_{k=1}^{2n} g(\sum_{j=1}^{s}\{\eta^j(X)\varphi^2 E_k - \eta^j(E_k)\varphi^2 X\}, E_k) + \sum_{k=1}^{s} g(-\varphi^2 X, \xi_k) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{s}\eta^j(X)\sum_{k=1}^{2n} g(\varphi^2 E_k, E_k). \end{aligned}$$

□

In this case $s = 1$ we have $S(X, \xi) = -2n\eta(X)$ in [15].

COROLLARY 4.2. *Let M be a $(2n+s)$-dimensional generalized Kenmotsu manifold with structure $(\varphi, \xi_i, \eta^i, g)$. Then we have*

$$S(\xi_k, \xi_i) = -2n \tag{17}$$

for all $X, Y \in TM, i, k \in \{1, 2, ..., s\}$.

THEOREM 4.3. *Let M be a $(2n+s)$ dimensional generalized Kenmotsu manifold with structure $(\varphi, \xi_i, \eta^i, g)$. Then we have*

$$S(\varphi X, \varphi Y) = S(X, Y) + 2n\sum_{i=1}^{s}\eta^i(X)\eta^i(Y) \tag{18}$$

for all $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM), i \in \{1, 2, ..., s\}$.

PROOF. We can put

$$X = X_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{s}\eta^i(X)\xi_i \text{ and } Y = Y_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{s}\eta^i(Y)\xi_i$$

where $X_0, Y_0 \in \mathcal{L}$. Then from (16) and (17) we have,

$$\begin{aligned} S(X,Y) &= S(X_0,Y_0) + \sum_{i=1}^{s}\eta^i(Y)\eta^i(X_0) + \sum_{i=1}^{s}\eta^i(X)\eta^i(Y_0) + \sum_{i=1}^{s}\eta^i(X)\eta^i(Y)S(\xi_i,\xi_i) \\ &= S(X_0,Y_0) - 2n\sum_{i=1}^{s}\eta^i(X)\eta^i(Y). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\varphi X, \varphi Y \in \mathcal{L}$ we get $S(X_0,Y_0) = S(\varphi X, \varphi Y)$ which implies the desired result. □

Considering $s = 1$ in *[14],* we deduce

$$S(\varphi X, \varphi Y) = S(X,Y) + 2n\eta(X)\eta(Y).$$

THEOREM 4.4. *Let M be a $(2n+s)$ dimensional generalized Kenmotsu manifold with structure $(\varphi, \xi_i, \eta^i, g)$. Then we have*

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla_{\varphi X}S)(\varphi Y, \varphi Z) &= (\nabla_{\varphi X}S)(Y,Z) - \sum_{i=1}^{s}\eta^i(Y)\{S(X,\varphi Z) + 2ng(X,\varphi Z)\} \\ &\quad -\sum_{i=1}^{s}\eta^i(Z)\{S(X,\varphi Y) + 2ng(X,\varphi Y)\} \end{aligned}$$

for all $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM), i \in \{1,2,...,s\}$.

PROOF. Using (9), we get

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla_{\varphi X}S)(\varphi Y, \varphi Z) &= \nabla_{\varphi X}S(Y,Z) + 2n\sum_{i=1}^{s}\{\eta^i(Y)\nabla_{\varphi X}\eta^i(Z) + \eta^i(Z)\nabla_{\varphi X}\eta^i(Y)\} \\ &\quad +\sum_{i=1}^{s}\{-S(g(\varphi^2X,Y)\xi_i - \eta^i(Y)\varphi^2X, \varphi Z) - S(\nabla_{\varphi X}Y, Z) - 2n\eta^i(\nabla_{\varphi X}Y)\eta^i(Z) \\ &\quad -S(\varphi Y, g(\varphi^2X,Z)\xi_i - \eta^i(Z)\varphi^2X) - S(Y, \nabla_{\varphi X}Z) - 2n\eta^i(Y)\eta^i(\nabla_{\varphi X}Z)\}. \end{aligned}$$

From (6), (16) and (17) we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla_{\varphi X}S)(\varphi Y, \varphi Z) &= (\nabla_{\varphi X}S)(Y,Z) + \sum_{i=1}^{s}\{2n\eta^i(Y)(\nabla_{\varphi X}\eta^i)Z + 2n\eta^i(Z)(\nabla_{\varphi X}\eta^i)Y \\ &\quad -\eta^i(Y)S(X,\varphi Z) - \eta^i(Z)S(\varphi Y, X)\}. \end{aligned}$$

□

COROLLARY 4.5. *Let M be a $(2n+s)$ dimensional generalized Kenmotsu manifold with structure $(\varphi, \xi_i, \eta^i, g)$. Then we have*

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla_X S)(\varphi Y, \varphi Z) &= (\nabla_X S)(Y,Z) + 2n\sum_{i=1}^{s}\{g(X,Y)\eta^i(Z) + g(X,Z)\eta^i(Y)\} \\ &\quad +\sum_{i=1}^{s}\{\eta^i(Y)S(X,Z) + \eta^i(Z)S(X,Y)\} \end{aligned}$$

for all $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM), i \in \{1,2,...,s\}$.

DEFINITION 4.6. The Ricci tensor S of a $(2n+s)$-dimensional generalized Kenmotsu manifold M is called $\eta-parallel$, if it satisfies

$$(\nabla_X S)(\varphi Y, \varphi Z) = 0$$

for all vector fields X, Y and Z on M.

THEOREM 4.7. *Let $(M, \varphi, \xi_i, \eta^i, g)$ a $(2n+s)$-dimensional generalized Kenmotsu manifold. M has $\eta-parallel$ if and only if*

$$\begin{aligned}(\nabla_X S)(Y,Z) &= -2n\sum_{i=1}^{s}\{g(X,Y)\eta^i(Z)+g(X,Z)\eta^i(Y)\}\\ &\quad -\sum_{i=1}^{s}\{\eta^i(Y)S(X,Z)+\eta^i(Z)S(X,Y)\}\end{aligned}$$

for all $X, Y, Z \in \Gamma(TM), i \in \{1, 2, ..., s\}$.

COROLLARY 4.8. *[15] Let $(M, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$ a $(2n+1)$-dimensional Kenmotsu manifold. M has $\eta-parallel$ if and only if*

$$\begin{aligned}(\nabla_X S)(Y,Z) &= -2n\{g(X,Y)\eta(Z)+g(X,Z)\eta(Y)\}\\ &\quad -\eta(Y)S(X,Z)-\eta(Z)S(X,Y)\end{aligned}$$

for all $X, Y, Z \in \Gamma(TM)$.

5 Semi-Symmetric Properties of Generalized Kenmotsu Manifolds

With respect to the Riemannian connection ∇ of a generalized Kenmotsu manifold $(M, \varphi, \xi_i, \eta^i, g)$, we can prove:

THEOREM 5.1. *The φ- sectional curvature of any semi-symmetric $(2n+s)$-dimensional generalized Kenmotsu manifold $(M, \varphi, \xi_i, \eta^i, g)$ is equal to $-s$.*

PROOF. Let X be a unit vector field. Since $(M, \varphi, \xi_i, \eta^i, g)$ is semi-symmetric, then

$$(R.R)(X, \xi_i, X, \varphi X, \varphi X, \xi_i) = 0,$$

for any $i, j \in \{1, 2, ..., s\}$. Expanding this formula from (7) and taking into account (14), we get

$$R(X, \varphi X, \varphi X, X) = -s,$$

which completes the proof. □

Observe that, in the case $s = 1$, by using the *Theorem 11* we obtain that a semi-symmetric Kenmotsu manifolds is constant curvature equal to -1 [2].

THEOREM 5.2. *Let $(M, \varphi, \xi_i, \eta^i, g)$ be a $(2n + s)$ dimensional Ricci semi-symmetric generalized Kenmotsu manifold. Then its Ricci tensor field S respect the Riemannian connection satisfies*

$$S(X,Y) = -2n\{sg(\varphi X, \varphi Y) + \sum_{i,j=1}^{s} \eta^i(X)\eta^j(Y)\} \tag{19}$$

for any $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$.

PROOF. Since $(M, \varphi, \xi_i, \eta^i, g)$ is Ricci semi-symmetric, then

$$S(R(X, \xi_i)\xi_j, Y) + S(\xi_j, R(X, \xi_i)Y) = 0,$$

for any $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$ and $i, j \in \{1, 2, ..., s\}$. Now, from (14), (15) and (16) we get the desired result. □

In this case $s = 1$ we have following the corollary.

COROLLARY 5.3. *Any Ricci semi-symmetric $(2n+1)-$dimensional Kenmotsu manifold is an Einstein manifold.*

PROOF. Considering $s = 1$ in (19), we deduce

$$S(X,Y) = -2ng(X,Y)$$

for any $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$. □

For the Weyl projective curvature tensor field P, the weyl projective curvature tensor P of a $(2n + s)$-dimensional generalized Kenmotsu manifold M is given by

$$P(X,Y)Z = R(X,Y)Z - \frac{1}{2n+s-1}\{S(Y,Z)X - S(X,Z)Y\}$$

where R is curvature tensor and S is the ricci curvature tensor of M, we have the following theorem.

THEOREM 5.4. *The φ- sectional curvature of any projectively semi-symmetric generalized Kenmotsu manifold $(M, \varphi, \xi_i, \eta^i, g)$ is equal to $-s$.*

PROOF. Let X be a unit vector field. Then, from (6) and taking into account (14) and (16) we have

$$(R.P)(X, \xi_i, X, \varphi X, \varphi X, \xi_j) = (R.R)(X, \xi_i, X, \varphi X, \varphi X, \xi_j).$$

This completes the proof from the *Theorem 5.1.* □

COROLLARY 5.5. *Let $(M, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$ a $(2n + 1)$-dimensional Kenmotsu manifold. The φ- sectional curvature of any projectively semi-symmetric Kenmotsu manifold if and only if M is an Einstein manifold.*

References

[1] L. Bhatt and K. K. Dube, Semi-invariant submanifolds of r-Kenmotsu manifolds, Acta Cienc. Indica Math. 29(1), (2003), 167-172.

[2] T.Q.Binh, L. Tamassy, U.C. De and M. Taraftar, Same remarks on almost Kenmotsu manifolds, Math. Pan. 13(1), (2002), 31-39.

[3] R.L. Bishop and B. O'Neill, Manifolds of negative curvature, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 145(1969),1-50.

[4] D.E. Blair, Geometry of manifolds with structural group $U(n) \times O(s)$, J. Differ. Geom. 4 (1970), 155-167.

[5] D.E. Blair, G. Ludden and K. Yano, Differential geometric structures on principal toroidal bundles,Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 181 (1973), 175–184.

[6] D.E. Blair, Riemannian geometry of contact and Symplectic Manifolds,Birkhauser. Boston, Second Edition (2010).

[7] J.L. Cabrerizo, L.M. Fernandez and M. Fernandez, The curvature tensor fields on f- manifolds with complemented frames, An. Ştiinţ. Univ. Al. I. Cuza Iaşi Sect. I a Mat. 36 no. 2 (1990), 151-161.

[8] U.C. De and G. Pathok, On 3-dimensional Kenmotsu manifolds, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. 35 (2004), 159-165.

[9] M. Falcitelli and A.M. Pastore, f-structures of Kenmotsu Type, Mediterr, J, Math. 3 No.3-4 (2006), 549-564.

[10] S.I. Goldberg, On the existence of manifolds with an f-structure, Tensor New Ser. 26 (1972), 323-329.

[11] S.I. Goldberg and K. Yano, Globally framed f-manifolds, III. J. MAth.15 (1971), 456-474.

[12] S.I. Goldberg and K. Yano, On normal globally framed f-manifolds, Tohoku Math. Journal 22 (1972), 362-370.

[13] D. Janssens and L. Vanhecke, Almost contact structures and curvature tensors, Kodai Math. j., 4(1981),1-27.

[14] J.B Jun,U.C. De and G. Pathak, On Kenmotsu Manifolds, J. KoreanMath. Soc. 42 (2005), No. 3, 435-445.

[15] K. Kenmotsu, A class of almost contact Riemannian manifolds, TohokuMath. J. II Ser. 24 (1972), 93-103.

[16] H. Nakagawa, f-structures induced on submanifolds in spaces, almost Hermitian or Kaehlerian, K¯odai Math. Sem. Rep. 18 (1966), 161–183.

[17] H. Nakagawa, On framed f-manifolds, Kodai Math. Sem. Rep. 18 (1966), 293–306.

[18] G. Pitiş, Geometry of Kenmotsu manifolds, Publishing House of Transilvania University of Braşov, Braşov, (2007).

[19] D.G. Prakasha,C.S. Bagewadi and N.S. Basavarajappa, On Lorentzian β-Kenmotsu manifolds,Int. J. Math. Anal, 17-20, (2008), 919–927.

[20] S. Tanno, The automorphism groups of almost contact Riemannian manifolds, Tohoku Math. J., 21 (1969), 21-38.

[21] J. Vanzura, Almost $r-$contact structures, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Sci. Fis. Mat. 26 (1972), 97–115.

[22] K. Yano, On a structure defined by a tensor field f of type $(1, 1)$ satisfying $f^3+f = 0$, Tesor NS., 14, (1963) 99-109 .

[23] K. Yano and M. Kon, Structure on manifolds, Series in Pure Math.Vol. 3, World Scientific, Singapore, (1984).

Department of Mathematics
Faculty of Sciences
Gazi University
ANKARA 06500, TURKEI
E-mail address: avanli@gazi.edu.tr

Department of Mathematics
Faculty of Sciences
Gazi University
ANKARA 06500, TURKEI
E-mail address: ramazansr@gmail.com